

**CALCONNECT DOCUMENT CD 0611**

**Type:** Recommendation  
**Title:** The Benefits of iCalendar for the Mobile Industry  
**Version:** 1.0  
**Date:** 2006-11-27  
**Status:** Published  
**Source:** MOBILE Technical Committee

This document incorporates by reference the CalConnect Intellectual Property Rights, Appropriate Usage, Trademarks and Disclaimer of Warranty for External (Public) Documents as located at

<http://www.calconnect.org/documents/disclaimerpublic.pdf>.

# **CALCONNECT.ORG**

**The CALENDARING & SCHEDULING CONSORTIUM**

**Title:**                    **The Benefits of iCalendar for the  
Mobile Industry**

**Version:**               **1.0**

**Date:**                   **27 November 2006**

**Contributed:**       **TC MOBILE**

**Editor:**               **Chris Dudding, Symbian Ltd.  
Chair, TC MOBILE**

**Authors:**             **Cyrus Daboo, Apple  
Chris Dudding, Symbian  
Mark Paterson, Oracle**

**Status:**               **Published**

## STATEMENT OF INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS

This document and the information it contains is the work product of The Calendaring and Scheduling Consortium (“Consortium”), and as such, the Consortium claims all rights to any intellectual property contained herein.

## STATEMENT OF APPROPRIATE USAGE

Standards Setting Organizations and others who find this document is of use in their work are hereby granted the right to copy, redistribute, incorporate into their own documents, make derivative works from, and otherwise make further use of the document and the material it contains at no cost and without seeking prior permission from the Consortium, subject to properly attributing the source if unmodified to the Consortium and notifying the Consortium of its use according to the guidelines below:

1. If the document is excerpted or used in its entirety in another document, the text must remain unchanged and a complete citation must be supplied referencing the full title, version, date, and appropriate section/subsection/paragraph identification from the original document.
2. A normative or informative reference to this document may be used in place of excerpting or incorporating the entire original document. Such references should include the full title, version, date, and appropriate section/subsection/paragraph identification from the Consortium document being referenced.
3. In either case, the user referencing or excerpting a Consortium document is requested to notify the Consortium of the referencing specification and to provide the Consortium with an appropriate link or other way of reviewing the specification.

## DISCLAIMER OF WARRANTY

THIS DOCUMENT AND THE INFORMATION IT CONTAINS IS PROVIDED ON AN “AS IS” BASIS, WITHOUT ANY WARRANTY OF ANY KIND, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, FROM THE CONSORTIUM, ITS CONTRIBUTORS, AND THE ORGANIZATIONS ITS CONTRIBUTORS REPRESENT OR ARE SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY, FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE, AND NON-INFRINGEMENT.

# The benefits of iCalendar for the mobile industry

A TC-Mobile Calconnect Whitepaper  
November 2006

Chris Dudding, Symbian Ltd (Editor)  
Mark Paterson, Oracle Corporation  
Cyrus Daboo, Apple Computer, Inc

### Executive Summary

The ability to share calendar information among different applications and across network boundaries has become an important business need, as a growing number of organizations look for ways to leverage their investments in collaborative applications.

Since the 1990s, when email standards were developed to allow for access to messages on any server, from any device or browser, the same challenge has remained for calendar and scheduling applications: How can we ensure that meeting and task information can be accessed and managed from any application, anytime, anywhere?

The goal is simple enough at a high level: Develop a standard data object for sharing calendar information over the Internet. iCalendar (RFC 2445) is a widely accepted format for calendar data representation, and is supported by several applications. However, vendors diverge in their interpretation of the standard's format, leading to incomplete or unusable data being exchanged. Further complicating the issue is that iCalendar has not been widely adopted within certain application spaces. Although adopted by all major time management solution vendors, there has been reluctance within the mobile industry to migrate from vCalendar (iCalendar's predecessor) based solutions and to fully embrace iCalendar.

The Mobile Technical Committee (TC-MOBILE) of the Calendaring & Scheduling Consortium recently published the results of a mobile calendaring questionnaire. Of concern were answers related to calendar synchronization. Synchronization was one of the main things users did, but it was also singled out as one of the main things that did not work well yet. This can be attributed, in large part, to issues related to data object interoperability.

Efforts to clarify and simplify aspects of iCalendar and investment in producing effective interoperability test suites hopefully can foster more reliable solutions, but this can only be achieved through the widespread adoption of iCalendar (RFC 2445) within all application spaces.

### Introduction

The vCalendar 1.0 specification defines a format for exchanging electronic calendaring and scheduling information between different applications and systems. It was developed by the Versit Consortium in September 1996.

Ten years after publication, vCalendar has been adopted by a wide variety of consumer electronics and mobile devices, from mobile phones to digital music players. However, many products in this category have not adopted RFC2445 (iCalendar) despite a high level of implementation by desktop applications and services.

The iCalendar specification, introduced in 1998, was intended to improve the level of interoperability between dissimilar calendaring and scheduling applications and systems. iCalendar builds on the previous work of vCalendar 1.0 and defines a MIME content type for exchanging calendar and scheduling information with support for operations such as requesting and replying to meeting events, to-dos or journal entries.

Interoperability among devices and platforms is very important for mobile users. The Mobile Technical Committee of the Calendaring & Scheduling Consortium conducted a questionnaire of 60 mobile users about calendaring on mobile devices in April 2006. One of the key findings from the questionnaire was that user experience of synchronization was not good enough due to problems with reliability and interoperability with desktop applications. iCalendar provides a solution to these interoperability issues.

In this paper:

- We explain the differences between the vCalendar and iCalendar standards
- We identify the advantages of wider usage of iCalendar
- We describe on-going activities to improve calendar interoperability based on iCalendar

### What is iCalendar?

iCalendar provides a more precise specification of the calendar components defined in the vCalendar 1.0 specification (VEVENT, VTODO) and defines new calendar components for a journal entry (VJOURNAL), free and busy time information (VFREEBUSY), time zone specification (VTIMEZONE), and alarm definition (VALARM).

The VJOURNAL component allows descriptive notes to be recorded for a particular calendar date. Only a few products implement this component currently.

## The benefits of iCalendar for the mobile industry

---

The grouping of properties in the VFREEBUSY component "describe either a request for free/busy time, describe a response to a request for free/busy time or describe a published set of busy time" (from RFC2445).

The timezone specification provided by the VTIMEZONE component provides a more comprehensive replacement for the DAYLIGHT and TZ properties in vCalendar 1.0. It enables date and time information to be communicated in an unambiguous format.

The definition of alarms is provided by the VALARM component. This component provides equivalent functionality to the AALARM, DALARM, MALARM, and PALARM vCalendar 1.0 properties.

## What are the differences between vCalendar and iCalendar?

The default character set for iCalendar is UTF-8 rather than ASCII. It is no longer possible to define a character set for an individual property; the same character set applies to the whole iCalendar object.

iCalendar has a default encoding of 8-bit; compared to vCalendar's default of 7-bit. It is no longer necessary to indicate 8-bit content using property parameters. iCalendar data that needs to be transferred using protocols restricted to 7 bits should use a content transfer encoding such as Base64 or quoted-printable at the transport layer.

There are new file type extensions of ICS and IFB for iCalendar core components and free/busy information components. vCalendar's file type extension of VCS is not used for identification of iCalendar data.

Property value data types such as date-time are specified in a more rigorous manner than in vCalendar; iCalendar also defines new property value data types such as 'Calendar User Address' to improve interoperability. iCalendar supports a more comprehensive set of property parameters to enable delegation of requests, alternative representations of data, and participant status. A full list of new property value data types and property value parameters is provided in the Appendix.

iCalendar defines eighteen new properties to support specification of time zones, calendaring and scheduling operations such as a canceling a meeting, non-Gregorian calendar scales, and other calendar attributes. A full list is provided in the Appendix.

iCalendar provides support for meeting requests/group scheduling with the new METHOD property. The scheduling protocol is a logical extension of iCalendar and is defined by RFC 2446 the iCalendar Transport-independent Interoperability Protocol (iTIP). There is no equivalent for vCalendar data.

## The benefits of iCalendar for the mobile industry

---

The new RECURRENCE-ID property allows individual calendar instances to be linked together and enables powerful recurrence/exception handling.

iCalendar has proper support for time zones and can accurately represent entries in local time, UTC time and local time with a time zone specified. This is particularly important for repeating entries, which may span a daylight saving change. vCalendar alone cannot represent the same data correctly.

iCalendar supports repeat rules with a frequency of seconds, minutes and hours. These kinds of repeat rules cannot be represented in vCalendar.

In summary, the iCalendar specification is more detailed and more powerful; the iCalendar specification is 148 pages compared to vCalendar's 47 pages. This means that there is less opportunity for implementers to misinterpret the specification's calendar components and it is easier to develop conformance tests to verify a correct implementation of the standard.

## What are the benefits of iCalendar?

For consumers, adoption of iCalendar will result in improved interoperability among devices and platforms that will allow them to synchronize data easily among multiple devices and servers and see the same set of information wherever they look.

The widespread adoption of iCalendar in all application spaces will result in a larger range of Calendar content available to consumers over the Internet. Further extensions to iCalendar will enable exchange of an even richer set of data. The consortium's Event Publication Technical Committee (TC-EVENTPUB) has developed the VVENUE proposal for representing venue related information (*e.g.* concert listings, museum admission prices, and driving directions). iCalendar-based solutions combined with ITIP (RFC 2446) will enable full fledged scheduling for consumers.

Third party software and OMA Data Synchronization server vendors can increase customer satisfaction and reduce defects by using iCalendar due to the combination of needing to support only a single data object format and the more reliable representation of calendar data. The ongoing active development of the iCalendar standard provides implementers with a vehicle for promoting change.

Mobile operating system vendors and device manufacturers will benefit from wider adoption of iCalendar. The improved interoperability with third party software and server implementations that can be achieved with iCalendar will result in less reported user defects. This in turn should result in reduced support costs. With an industry-wide push towards iCalendar, server vendors will be encouraged to support devices also claiming such support.

For mobile operators, solutions that are more reliable will result in increased usage of calendar access and synchronization, which should show in



## **The benefits of iCalendar for the mobile industry**

---

increased data revenues. Using iCalendar and taking advantage of iCalendar content that is available on the Internet, a richer set of applications and value added premium services can result.

The benefits of iCalendar continue to improve as ongoing work to clarify and simplify the standard continues in the IETF Calsify working group. While vCalendar can no longer evolve, iCalendar as a data object format continues to take steps towards being the needed standard data object format.

## **Efforts underway to improve interoperability**

It is well understood that even if iCalendar were widely adopted throughout the industry, this alone would not solve the issue of interoperability that users experience today. Fortunately, there are ongoing efforts to help address issues of interoperability.

The Calsify effort in the IETF is chartered to revise the core iCalendar specifications to fix any problems discovered over the years during interoperability testing. This effort involves not only fixing issues in the specifications, but also an analysis of areas where simplification may be required. The core documents RFC2445, RFC2246 and RFC2447 have new draft revisions available, and these are actively being worked on as of November 2006. It is expected that this work will complete in early 2007.

The Calendaring & Scheduling Consortium is committed to helping bring about appropriate updates to the iCalendar specifications. As part of this effort, it has organized technical committees to study some of the more problematic areas such as recurrences and time zones.

The consortium's technical committees have published the following papers:

- Time zone Registry & Service Recommendations
- Time zone Problems & Recommendations
- Recurrence Problems & Recommendations

Fixing issues within the iCalendar specifications will certainly help, but many issues could be solved now through increased interoperability testing by vendors. Recognizing this fact, the MOBILE Technical Committee (TC-MOBILE) of the Calendaring & Scheduling Consortium has begun working on a Mobile Calendaring Synchronization Test Suite that it hopes to publish by January 2007. This test suite will focus on the actual iCalendar payload and issues related to interpreting calendar data.

Working with the Interoperability Testing Technical Committee (TC-IOPTEST), also from the Calendaring & Scheduling Consortium, work is underway to host Calendaring Interoperability Tests Events (CITEs) where vendors will be able test their implementations using this new test suite.

## **The benefits of iCalendar for the mobile industry**

---

All of these efforts are directed at improving the iCalendar specifications and the usage of these specifications. Only through the widespread adoption of iCalendar can these efforts truly help address issues of interoperability.

## **Conclusion**

Mobile calendaring is something users want but it has to be something they can rely on. The mobile industry must overcome the current issues related to interoperability. The starting point for this is the widespread adoption of iCalendar.

For more information on the efforts of the Calendaring & Scheduling Consortium, please visit <http://www.calconnect.org/>.

## Resources

### **iCalendar Specifications:**

RFC2445 – Internet Calendaring and Scheduling Core Object Specification  
<<http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2445.txt>>

RFC2446 – iCalendar Transport-Independent Interoperability Protocol  
<<http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2446.txt>>

RFC2447 – iCalendar Message-Based Interoperability Protocol  
<<http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2447.txt>>

RFC3283 – Guide to Internet Calendaring  
<<http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc3283.txt>>

### **CalDAV Specifications:**

CALDAV-ACCESS – Calendaring Extensions to WebDAV  
<<http://www.ietf.org/internet-drafts/draft-dusseault-caldav-14.txt>>

CALDAV-SCHED – Scheduling Extensions to CalDAV  
<<http://www.ietf.org/internet-drafts/draft-desruisseaux-caldav-sched-02.txt>>

### **Implementations:**

LIBICAL – libical C library  
<<http://freshmeat.net/projects/libical>>

ICAL4J – iCal4j Java library  
<<http://ical4j.sourceforge.net>>

VOBJECT – VObject Python library  
<<http://vobject.skyhouseconsulting.com>>

### **iCalendar on the Web:**

ICALSHARE – Shared, searchable calendars  
<<http://www.icalshare.com>>

EVENTFUL – Local events  
<<http://eventful.com>>

### **Efforts to improve Interoperability:**

IETF CALSIFY – Charter for IETF iCalendar Simplification Working Group  
<<http://www.ietf.org/html.charters/calsify-charter.html>>

## **The benefits of iCalendar for the mobile industry**

---

CALCONNECT – Time zone Registry & Service Recommendations  
<<http://www.calconnect.org/publications/timezoneregistryandservicerecommendationsv1.0.pdf>>

CALCONNECT – Time zone Problems & Recommendations  
<<http://www.calconnect.org/publications/icalendartimezoneproblemsandrecommendationsv1.0.pdf>>

CALCONNECT – Recurrence Problems & Recommendations  
<<http://www.calconnect.org/publications/icalendarrecurrenceproblemsandrecommendationsv1.0.pdf>>

### **Calconnect Mobile Calendaring Questionnaire:**

CALCONNECT – Report on Mobile Calendaring Questionnaire Results  
<<http://www.calconnect.org/publications/reportonmobilecalendaringquestionnairev2results.pdf>>

## Appendix

### New properties defined in iCalendar

Property Name	Section in RFC 2445
ACTION	4.8.6.1
CALSCALE	4.7.1
COMMENT	4.8.1.4
CONTACT	4.8.4.2
DTSTAMP	4.8.7.2
DURATION	4.8.2.5
FREEBUSY	4.8.2.6
METHOD	4.7.2
ORGANISER	4.8.4.3
PERCENT-COMPLETE	4.8.1.8
RECURRENCE-ID	4.8.4.4
REPEAT	4.8.6.2
REQUEST-STATUS	4.8.8.2
TRIGGER	4.8.6.3
TZID	4.8.3.1
TZNAME	4.8.3.2
TZOFFSETFROM	4.8.3.3
TZOFFSETTO	4.8.3.4
TZURL	4.8.3.5

### New property value data types defined in iCalendar

Property Value Data Type	Section in RFC 2445
Boolean	4.3.2
Calendar User Address	4.3.3
Date	4.3.4
Float	4.3.7
Integer	4.3.8
Period of Time	4.3.9
Recurrence Rule	4.3.10
Text	4.3.11
Time	4.3.11
UTC Offset	4.3.14

## New property parameters defined in iCalendar

Property Parameter Name	Section in RFC 2445
ALTREP	4.2.1
CN	4.2.2
CUTYPE	4.2.3
DELEGATED-FROM	4.2.4
DELEGATED-TO	4.2.5
DIR	4.2.6
FMTTYPE	4.2.8
FBTYPE	4.2.9
MEMBER	4.2.11
PARTSTAT	4.2.12
RANGE	4.2.13
RELATED	4.2.14
RELTYPE	4.2.15
RSVP	4.2.17
SENT-BY	4.2.18
TZID	4.2.19

## Mapping between vCalendar and iCalendar properties

vCalendar property name	iCalendar property name	Section in RFC2445
DAYLIGHT	Replaced by VTIMEZONE component	4.6.5
GEO	GEO	4.8.1.6
PRODID	PRODID	4.7.3
TZ	Replaced by VTIMEZONE component	4.6.5
VERSION	VERSION	4.7.4
ATTACH	ATTACH	4.8.1.1
ATTENDEE	ATTENDEE	4.8.4.1
AALARM	Replaced by VALARM component	4.6.6
CATEGORIES	CATEGORIES	4.8.1.2
CLASS	CLASS	4.8.1.3
DCREATED	CREATED	4.8.7.1
COMPLETED	COMPLETED	4.8.2.1
DESCRIPTION	DESCRIPTION	4.8.1.5
DALARM	Replaced by VALARM component	4.6.6
DUE	DUE	4.8.2.3
DTEND	DTEND	4.8.2.2
EXDATE	EXDATE	4.8.5.1
EXRULE	EXRULE	4.8.5.2
LAST-MODIFIED	LAST-MODIFIED	4.8.7.3
LOCATION	LOCATION	4.8.1.7
MALARM	Replaced by VALARM component	4.6.6

## The benefits of iCalendar for the mobile industry

---

<b>vCalendar property name</b>	<b>iCalendar property name</b>	<b>Section in RFC2445</b>
RNUM	No equivalent property, iCalendar RECUR property value type allows the number of occurrences to be specified	
PRIORITY	PRIORITY	4.8.1.9
PALARM	Replaced by VALARM component	4.6.6
RELATED-TO	RELATED-TO	4.8.4.5
RDATE	RDATE	4.8.5.3
RRULE	RRULE	4.8.5.4
RESOURCES	RESOURCES	4.8.1.10
SEQUENCE	SEQUENCE	4.8.7.4
DTSTART	DTSTART	4.8.2.4
STATUS	STATUS	4.8.1.11
SUMMARY	SUMMARY	4.8.1.12
TRANSP	TRANSP	4.8.2.7
URL	URL	4.8.4.6
UID	UID	4.8.4.7
X-	X-	4.8.8.1